



DOE Project Execution Plan

for

**Run IIb CDF Detector Project
and
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project**

at

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

**Revision 1.1
May 27, 2005**

Revisions for PEP Version 1.1

Per Change Proposal CDF—002, the following tables were revised.

- Table 6.1, Planned Funding
- Table 7.3a, Cost Table
- Table 7.3b, Project Cost by WBS Element

Per Change Proposal DZero—002, the following tables were revised.

- Table 6.1, Planned Funding
- Table 7.3a, Cost Table
- Table 7.3b, Project Cost by WBS Element

Per Change Proposal DZero—003, the following figure and table were revised.

- Figure 5.2, D-Zero Work Breakdown Structure
- Table 7.4, Level 1 Milestones

Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
PROJECT EXECUTION PLAN

1. Introduction 1
 1.1 Purpose and Context of This Document..... 1
 1.2 Approval and Revision..... 1
2. Justification of Mission 2
3. Project Description 2
 3.1 Scientific Objectives 2
 3.2 Technical Goals 2
4. Management Structure and Responsibilities..... 3
 4.1 Office of High Energy Physics 4
 4.2 Chicago Operations Office 5
 4.3 DOE Run II Project Director..... 5
 4.3 Integrated Project Team..... 6
5. Work Breakdown Structure 6
6. Resource Plan..... 9
7. Project Baselines and Control Levels..... 12
 7.1 Baseline Change Control 12
 7.2 Technical Baseline 13
 7.3 Cost Baseline 14
 7.4 Schedule Baseline..... 19
8. Project Monitoring and Reporting 21
9. Safety/Hazard Analysis Report 21
 9.1 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) 21
 9.2 Preliminary Safety Analysis Document..... 21
 9.3 Integrated Safety Management..... 21
10. Value Engineering 21
11. Quality Assurance 21
13. Risk Management 22

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Context of This Document

This revision of the November 2003 PEP incorporates changes to the projects' baselines due to a decision to descope the silicon tracker portion of the projects and changes resulting from DOE Manual 413.3-1.

The Run IIb upgrades of the CDF and D-Zero detectors will provide the necessary capability to maximize the physics opportunities in Run IIb. The projects' deliverables will be technical components ready to install in the existing CDF and D-Zero detectors. Installation of these components will be handled outside of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects to decouple the projects' schedules from Fermilab's accelerator schedule.

This DOE Project Execution Plan (PEP) for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects describes the mission need and justification of the projects, their objectives and scopes, the Department of Energy (DOE) management structure, the resource plan, and the environmental, safety, and health (ES&H) requirements. In addition, it establishes the technical, cost, and schedule baselines against which project performance will be measured by the DOE. DOE Baseline Change Control thresholds are also established in this document.

The projects are being carried out by the Universities Research Association, which operates Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab) under contract with DOE. The Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Project Management Plans (PMPs) describe the organization and systems that Fermilab will employ to manage the execution of these projects and report to DOE. The PMPs also establish the more detailed lower-tier milestones against which Fermilab and the DOE Run II Project Director will measure project performance.

The PEP and PMPs serve as complementary documents to fulfill the project execution planning requirements of DOE Order 413.3. In some sections explicit references between these documents have been included.

1.2 Approval and Revision

The PEP is approved by the Associate Director, Office of High Energy Physics (SC-20) as a prerequisite of Critical Decision 2, Approval of Performance Baseline.

The Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Project Management Plans are approved by the DOE Run II Project Director.

2. Justification of Mission

The Fermilab Tevatron provides the highest energy particle beams in the world, enabling unique opportunities for scientific discovery. Fermilab will continue to operate at the “Energy Frontier” until the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN begins operation with a much higher beam energy. Thus, the Fermilab Tevatron Collider has a window of opportunity for making a major scientific discovery before commencement of operation at the LHC. Minimizing the procurement time for the Run IIb upgrades is a significant consideration in the project planning process. To maximize the utilization of existing facilities, the detector components provided by the Run IIb upgrades will allow the experiments to operate at high luminosity of the latter part of Run II. Designs will take advantage of advances in radiation resistant and high speed electronics to enable the new components to handle 3 to 4 times as much luminosity as provided in the original detector designs. This will enable a significant increase in the integrated luminosity collected beyond the Run IIa goal of 2 fb^{-1} , and will enable a search for the Higgs Boson, precision measurements in the top quark sector, and a continuing search for new physics.

3. Project Description

The High Energy Physics program of the DOE Office of Science conducts basic research at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab) utilizing the Tevatron Collider, which collides protons and antiprotons with center of mass energy of 2 TeV. Two detectors, CDF and D-Zero, observe these collisions. These studies address some of the most fundamental issues in particle physics. In the recently started “Run II” of the Tevatron Collider, it is expected that both detectors have good prospects for making major new discoveries, perhaps including the first observation of the Higgs boson.

3.1 Scientific Objectives

The Run IIb upgrades of the CDF and D-Zero detectors will provide the necessary capability to maximize the physics opportunities in Run IIb. A large part of the Run IIb projects is to provide the technical components to enable the silicon detectors in the CDF and D-Zero experiments to operate beyond their initial design lifetimes. These detectors are capable of identifying short-lived particles, such as b-quarks, that travel a small distance before decaying into other particles. The Higgs Boson is expected to decay into a pair of b-quarks and efficiently detecting them is crucial to the Run IIb goals. The Run IIb CDF Detector Project also includes a central preradiator detector with the capability of improving electron and photon identification, and an upgraded event builder with the capability of increasing the data throughput. The Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project includes an inner layer silicon detector, upgrades to the Level 1 and Level 2 triggers to improve the selectivity in what data is recorded, and an upgrade to the online computing to provide the necessary computing infrastructure needed for Run IIb.

3.2 Technical Goals

The general technical goals of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects are presented below. Further details can be found in the Technical Design Reports for each project.

Run IIb CDF Project

- Upgrade of the central calorimetry, to provide improved time measurement of electromagnetic energy deposition and a replacement for the obsolete central preradiator chambers.
- Replacement of obsolete portions of the data acquisition system that prevent the experiment from collecting data at the rates needed in Run IIb.


Run IIb D-Zero Project

- Design and fabrication of an inner layer (layer 0) of silicon tracking for inside the existing silicon microstrip tracker capable of improved b-tagging and triggering for physics measurements.
- Upgrade of the Level 1 and Level 2 trigger systems to accommodate the higher luminosities from the Tevatron.
- Replacement of obsolete portions of the online and data acquisition systems that would otherwise prevent the experiment from taking data at rates necessary to achieve the physics objectives of Run IIb.

4. Management Structure and Responsibilities

The DOE-SC organization for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects is shown in Figure 4.1. Each of the major organizational elements is discussed below the figure.

Figure 4.1
Run IIb CDF and DØ Detector Projects
Project Management Organization



4.1 Office of High Energy Physics

Within the Office of Science, the Office of High Energy Physics has overall DOE responsibility for the development of high energy and nuclear physics. The Associate Director, Office of High Energy Physics will serve as the Acquisition Executive for these projects. The Office of High Energy Physics (OHEP) is the lead program organization for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects. The prime headquarters point of contact for the project will be the Run IIb Federal Program Manager, an OHEP employee who is appointed by the Associate Director, OHEP.

The responsibilities of OHEP relating to the projects include the following:

- participates in annual budget process;
- reviews the PEP and substantive changes to it;
- reviews the initial cost, schedule, and technical baselines;
- performs project management reviews on a roughly semiannual basis;
- ensures that funding is provided on a timely basis;
- coordinates project needs within DOE headquarters;
- and coordinates with the DOE Run II Project Director.

4.2 Chicago Operations Office

The DOE Chicago Operations Office (CH) has the contract management responsibility for DOE's performance-based management contract with URA. The Fermi Area Office (FAO) is the responsible DOE office on site at Fermilab that administers the contract and provides day-to-day DOE oversight of the laboratory. The FAO Manager has assigned the DOE Run II Project Director the authority for day-to-day implementation and direction of the project. The FAO Manager will provide the DOE Run II Project Director with support from FAO staff when appropriate.

4.3 DOE Run II Project Director

The management responsibility, authority, and accountability for day-to-day execution of the project has been assigned to the DOE Run II Project Director. The DOE Run II Project Director is a DOE employee who is appointed by the FAO Manager, subject to the approval of the Associate Director, OHEP. The DOE Run II Project Director receives guidance and direction from the OHEP and serves as the principal point of contact for DOE headquarters on issues specific to the project.

Specific responsibilities of the DOE Run II Project Director are:

- Serves as Integrated Project Team lead in drafting/coordinating the PEP.
- Reviews and approves the Project Management Plans and subsequent revisions.
- Implements procedures for baseline management and control, approves baseline changes at Level 2 and recommends changes or corrective action to baselines above Level 2.
- Maintains close contact with the activities of Fermilab to assure that the goals and schedules are met in a timely and effective manner. Reviews project performance monthly and keeps the OHEP informed of cost, schedule, and technical progress and problems in a timely manner.
- Controls the project contingency funds and authorizes its use within levels established in the Project Execution Plan.
- Coordinates with the FAO Manager regarding approval of subcontract procurement actions performed by Fermilab.
- Oversees the preparation and review of the safety analysis documents.
- Directs the updating of the Project Execution Plans and the Project Management Plans.
- Coordinates updates of the budget.

- Participates in and provide support for the program peer reviews, reviews by oversight committees and validation of the project.
- Submits quarterly reports and other reports on the status of the project for DOE management as required in this Project Execution Plan and applicable DOE requirements.
- Aids in the compliance by the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects with appropriate DOE requirements, and contracting regulations.
- Serves as Integrated Project Team lead in drafting/coordinating the AEP.


4.4 Integrated Project Team

The Integrated Project Team (IPT) for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects with DOE and Fermilab membership was implemented at the earliest stages of the projects and has served the projects well. The IPT will continue to function in accordance with the intent of DOE Order 413.3 and within the Government Owned Contractor Operated contracting arrangement at Fermilab throughout the life of the projects, with assistance being added to the team on an as needed basis. Roles and responsibilities of initial key personnel are presented in the PEP and the PMPs.


5. Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

The technical description of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects is presented in Technical Design Reports (TDRs). The TDRs describe the principal components of the detectors and serves as reference for the following descriptions of detector subsystems. Detector subsystems are the basis for defining the high-level WBS of the projects. The WBS for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects to level 3 are shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2, respectively. Installation is not included as part of the projects, but will be included in planning. WBS element 1.1 of each project contained the silicon detector. This element now contains only the silicon closeout activities and thus has been deleted from the charts below. Further details of the WBS for each project are available in the PMPs.


Figure 5.1
CDF Work Breakdown Structure



**Figure 5.2
D-Zero Work Breakdown Structure**



**Figure 5.2
Revised D-Zero Work Breakdown Structure**



6. Resource Plan

The planned funding for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects is shown in Table 6.1. The Fermilab and collaboration staffing is presented in the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero PMPs.

Table 6.1

Planned Funding (AY in thousands)						
	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total
Run IIb CDF Detector Project						
DOE MIE	0	3,460	3,509	1,673	1,732	10,375
DOE R&D	0	1,670	480	0	0	2,150
Foreign Contributions	0	39	342	252	10	643
U.S. Universities	0	24	225	103	26	378
Total*	0	5,193	4,556	2,028	1,768	13,545
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project						
DOE MIE	0	3,460	2,792	2,542	3,708	12,502
DOE R&D	0	1,499	2,381	0	0	3,880
Foreign Contributions	0	178	76	0	0	278
NSF–MRI silicon	17	1,326	440	663	24	2,469
NSF–MRI trigger	0	0	113	57	430	599
U.S. Universities	0	152	40	20	16	228
Total**	17	6,615	5,835	3,281	4,178	19,926

* Approximately 50 percent of the foreign contributions to CDF will come in the form of contributed goods, i.e., "in kind." The remaining half will be in the form of cash which will pass through the Fermilab Procurement Department. This portion will be subjected to the same procurement procedures used for DOE funds.

** All foreign contributions are in kind, applied toward the trigger. Both the silicon and trigger Major Research Instrument (MRI) grants from the National Science Foundation are approved, with spending having begun for the silicon MRI. Remaining in kind funds are from US university support of engineering and other technical personnel.

Table 6.1 (revised)

Planned Funding (AY in thousands)						
	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total
Run IIb CDF Detector Project						
DOE MIE	0	3,460	3,509	1,227	0	8,196
DOE R&D	0	1,670	480	0	0	2,150
Foreign Contributions	0	39	518	234	404	1,195
U.S. Universities	0	24	225	103	26	378
Total*	0	5,193	4,732	1,564	430	11,919
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project						
DOE MIE	0	3,460	2,792	2,542	1,925	10,719
DOE R&D	0	1,499	2,381	0	0	3,880
Foreign Contributions	0	178	70	0	0	248
NSF–MRI silicon	17	1,326	440	663	24	2,469
NSF–MRI trigger	0	0	113	57	430	599
U.S. Universities	0	152	40	20	16	228
Total**	17	6,615	5,835	3,281	2,395	18,143

* Approximately 50 percent of the foreign contributions to CDF will come in the form of contributed goods, i.e., “in kind.” The remaining half will be in the form of cash which will pass through the Fermilab Procurement Department. This portion will be subjected to the same procurement procedures used for DOE funds.

** All foreign contributions are in kind, applied toward the trigger. Both the silicon and trigger Major Research Instrument (MRI) grants from the National Science Foundation are approved, with spending having begun for the silicon MRI. Remaining in kind funds are from US university support of engineering and other technical personnel.

7. Project Baselines and Control Levels

The project baselines and control levels are defined in a hierarchical manner that provides change control authority at the appropriate management level. The highest level of baseline change control authority is defined as Level 0. Changes at Level 0 are approved by the Acquisition Executive (Associate Director, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics) or DOE Deputy Secretary. Changes below Level 0 are approved as follows: Level 1—Acquisition Executive; Level 2—DOE Run II Project Director; and Level 3—Fermilab as specified in the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Project PMPs.

Change control thresholds for the projects are presented in section 7.1. The technical, cost, and schedule baselines and the associated control levels down to Level 2 are given in sections 7.2, 7.3, and 7.4.

The change control levels and procedures at Level 3 and below are addressed in the PMPs.

7.1 Baseline Change Control

Change control thresholds are presented in table 7.1.

**Table 7.1
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Technical, Schedule, and Cost Baseline Control Levels ***

	Secretarial Acquisition Executive (Level 0)	Acquisition Executive (Level 1)	DOE Run II Project Director (Level 2)
Technical	Any change in scope and/or performance that affects mission need requirements or is not in conformance with current approved Project Data Sheet.	Changes to scope that affect mission need.	
Schedule	6 month or greater increase (cumulative) in the original project completion date.	Any change to level 1 milestones.	Any change to level 2 milestones (see PMPs).
Cost	Increase in excess of \$25M or 25% (cumulative) of the original cost [MIE] baseline.	Any increase in DOE MIE.	Any use of contingency that would take the contingency as percentage of DOE MIE ETC below 35%.

* Changes must be recommended at all applicable lower levels prior to being forwarded to the next higher level for consideration.

7.2 Technical Baseline

The technical definition of project completion for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects are listed in Table 7.2. As stated previously, installation of the technical components into the CDF and D-Zero Detectors is not part of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects, but will be planned and managed with formal project management techniques.

**Table 7.2
CD-4, Project Completion Definition**

Subsystem	Technical Definition of Subproject Completion
Run IIb CDF Detector Project	
Central Preradiator	Pulse height distribution for each 15° module tested.
Calorimeter timing	All electronic components bench-tested and time distribution on the test wedge tested.
Data Acquisition and Trigger	Level 2 decision crate, track trigger boards, and TDCs bench-tested using simulated inputs to verify they meet design specifications.
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project	
Silicon Detector	Layer 0 Silicon Detector assembled and tested.
Level 1 Trigger	Level 1 Trigger boards assembled and bench-tested using simulated inputs to verify boards meet design specifications.
Level 2 beta	Processors procured and input/output tested for correct beta-to-beta communication in a test crate.
Level 2 Silicon Track Trigger	Silicon Track Trigger boards assembled and bench-tested using simulated inputs to verify boards meet design specifications.

7.3 Cost Baseline

Table 7.3a below presents the cost baselines for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects. Table 7.3b presents DOE MIE Costs and Total Costs by WBS. Baseline costs resulted from bottoms-up cost estimates, and contingency is provided by DOE. In-kind contributions are provided by collaborating institutions. In addition, two NSF MRI grants provide some funding on the Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project.

Table 7.3a
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Cost Table
(\$ in Thousands)

Control Level	Item	Cost
Run IIb CDF Detector Project		
1	DOE MIE	10,375
3	DOE R&D	2,150
3	Foreign Contributions	643
3	U.S. Universities	378
3	TOTAL COST	13,545
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project		
1	DOE MIE	12,502
3	DOE R&D	3,880
3	Foreign Contributions	248
3	NSF-MRI silicon	2,469
3	NSF-MRI trigger	599
3	U.S. Universities	228
3	TOTAL COST	19,926

Table 7.3a
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Revised Cost Table
(\$ in Thousands)

Control Level	Item	Cost
Run IIb CDF Detector Project		
1	DOE MIE	8,196
3	DOE R&D	2,150
3	Foreign Contributions	1,195
3	U.S. Universities	378
3	TOTAL COST	11,919
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project		
1	DOE MIE	10,719
3	DOE R&D	3,880
3	Foreign Contributions	248
3	NSF-MRI silicon	2,469
3	NSF-MRI trigger	599
3	U.S. Universities	228
3	TOTAL COST	18,143

Table 7.3b
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Project Cost by WBS Element
(\$ in Millions)

WBS Element	Item	Total Cost	DOE MIE
Run IIb CDF Detector Project			
1.1	Silicon Detector	4.7	2.5
1.2	Calorimeter Upgrades	1.0	0.3
1.3	Data Acquisition Upgrades	4.1	3.8
1.4	Administration	1.0	1.0
	Contingency	2.7	2.7
	TOTAL COST	13.5	10.4
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project			
1.1/1.6	Silicon Detector	3.8	3.5
1.2	Trigger Upgrades	5.0	3.9
1.3	Online Computing	1.1	1.1
1.4	Administration	0.9	0.9
	Contingency	3.1	3.1
	TOTAL COST	19.9	12.5

Table 7.3b
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Revised Project Cost by WBS Element
(\$ in Millions)

WBS Element	Item	Total Cost	DOE MIE
Run IIb CDF Detector Project			
1.1	Silicon Detector	3.5	1.3
1.2	Calorimeter Upgrades	1.2	0.5
1.3	Data Acquisition Upgrades	5.6	4.7
1.4	Administration	0.7	0.7
	Contingency	0.9	0.9
	TOTAL COST	11.9	8.2
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project			
1.1/1.6	Silicon Detector	9.6	3.3
1.2	Trigger Upgrades	3.4	2.3
1.3	Online Computing	0.9	0.9
1.4	Administration	0.9	0.9
1.7	AFE/TRiP Upgrade	1.6	1.6
	Contingency	1.8	1.8
	TOTAL COST	18.2	10.8

7.4 Schedule Baseline

Table 7.4 below presents the schedule baselines for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects. Installation is not part of the projects since the schedule for installation depends on factors outside the project. There is some uncertainty about when exactly the current silicon vertex detectors will fail. The Run IIb D-Zero Detector project plans to deliver its Layer 0 as soon as is practical and before it is absolutely needed. Fermilab and the collaborations will then have the ability to schedule the installation at the optimum time without compromising the CD-4 date.

Table 7.4
Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects
Milestones

Milestone	Description	Baseline Date
0.0	CD-0: Approve Mission Need	May 2001
0.1	CD-1: Approve Preliminary Baseline	February 2003
0.2	CD-2: Approve Performance Baseline	February 2003
0.3	CD-3a: Approve Limited Construction	February 2003
0.4	CD-3b: Approve Full Construction	December 2003
0.5	CD-4: Approve Project Completion	November 2006

Level 1 Milestones

Milestone	Description	Baseline Date
Run IIb CDF Detector Project		
CDF 1.2	Calorimeter Upgrades Ready for Installation	January 2006
CDF 1.3	Data Acquisition and Trigger Upgrades Ready for Installation	January 2006
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project		
D-Zero 1.2	Online System Production and Testing Complete	October 2005
D-Zero 1.4	Level 2 Trigger Production and Testing Complete	January 2006
D-Zero 1.5	Level 1 Trigger Production and Testing Complete	April 2006
D-Zero 1.6	Silicon Ready to Move to D-Zero Assembly Building	May 2006

Level 1 Milestones (revised)

Milestone	Description	Baseline Date
Run IIb CDF Detector Project		
CDF 1.2	Calorimeter Upgrades Ready for Installation	January 2006
CDF 1.3	Data Acquisition and Trigger Upgrades Ready for Installation	January 2006
Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project		
D-Zero 1.2	Online System Production and Testing Complete	October 2005
D-Zero 1.4	Level 2 Trigger Production and Testing Complete	January 2006
D-Zero 1.5	Level 1 Trigger Production and Testing Complete	April 2006
D-Zero 1.6	Silicon Ready to Move to D-Zero Assembly Building	May 2006
D-Zero 1.7	AFEII Boards Complete	September 2006

8. Project Monitoring and Reporting

The DOE Run II Project Director will provide quarterly reports on the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects to HQ and monthly updates to the Project Assessment and Reporting System (PARS). Monitoring of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects will occur through established mechanisms among project participants. Reviews of the projects' status will be conducted by the Associate Director, Office of High Energy Physics approximately semiannually. Fermilab will provide formal project monthly reports to the DOE Run II Project Director. The requirements of the monthly reports will be included in the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects PMPs.

9. Safety/Hazard Analysis Report

9.1 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

The Categorical Exclusions (B3.10) for the Run IIb CDF Detector project and the Run IIb D-Zero Detector projects were approved on September 17 and 30, 2002, respectively.

9.2 Preliminary Safety Assessment Document

The original CDF and D-Zero Detectors were designated as Low Hazard Radiological Facilities and the Safety Envelopes were approved by DOE in November 1994 and November 1995, following submission of the Safety Assessment Documents (SADs). Addendums to the SADs were submitted and approved for the Run IIa Upgrades, and the radiological facility designations remained unchanged. In compliance with the Fermilab ES&H Manual, the Directorate, through the ES&H Section, has determined that a Run IIb addenda to the existing CDF and D-Zero SADs should be prepared. No aspect of the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects will increase the hazards of the experiments for either radiological or conventional hazards. Consequently, a complete reanalysis of the Safety Assessment Documents is not warranted.

9.3 Integrated Safety Management

The Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Detector Projects will be constructed and operated under the Integrated Safety Management (ISM) plan developed by Fermilab in consultation with DOE. The Fermilab ES&H Section, the Fermilab Particle Physics Division, and the project teams work together to assure effective application of the ISM plan. Each project team has committees with specific oversight responsibilities for the advice and ES&H approval process.

10. Value Engineering

Value Engineering for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Projects is covered in section 7 of the "Run IIb CDF Detector Project, Project Management Plan" and section 13 of the "Project Management Plan for the Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory."

11. Quality Assurance

Quality assurance for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Projects is covered in section 13 of the “Run IIb CDF Detector Project, Project Management Plan” and section 13 of the “Project Management Plan for the Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.”

13. Risk Management

Risk management for the Run IIb CDF and D-Zero Projects is covered in section 9 of the “Run IIb CDF Detector Project, Project Management Plan” and section 9 of the “Project Management Plan for the Run IIb D-Zero Detector Project at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.”