

Status and prospects for *B* physics and discrete symmetries at Tevatron

Kevin Pitts
University of Illinois

DISCRETE 2010
Sapienza Università di Roma
December 6, 2010

Outline

- Introduction
  - B physics at the Tevatron
  - Detectors & datasets
- Physics with (semi)leptonic final states
  - $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$
  - Dimuon CP asymmetry
  - Rare decays: $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-\phi$
- Physics with hadronic final states
  - A bit of history
  - $B \to D^0 K$
  - Charmless two-body decays ($b \to u\bar{u}d$, $u\bar{u}s$, $s\bar{s}s$)
  - CP asymmetry in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$
- A bit more on prospects
- Conclusion

B Physics at the Tevatron

Production is by strong interaction:



Flavor Creation (annihilation)



Flavor Creation (gluon fusion)



Flavor Excitation



Gluon Splitting

Pros

- **Large cross-section**
  - ~3-5 μbarn "reconstructable"
  - At $2\times10^{32}cm^{-2}s^{-1} \Rightarrow$ ~800Hz of reconstructable *BB*!!
- **All *B* species produced**
  - $B_u, B_d, B_s, B_c, \Lambda_b, \ldots$
- ***CP* symmetric initial state**
  - Equal numbers of q and $\bar{q}$

Cons

- **Large inelastic background**
  - Triggering and reconstruction are challenging
  - Modes with π^0's are tough
- **Reconstruct a *B* hadron,~20-40% chance 2nd *B* is within detector acceptance**
- **p_T spectrum relatively soft**
  - Typical $p_T(B)$~10-15 GeV for reconstructed *B*'s ($\beta\gamma \approx$ 2-3)

Detectors

- **Both detectors**
  - silicon microvertex detectors
  - axial solenoid
  - central tracking
  - high rate trigger/DAQ system
  - calorimeter & muon systems



DØ fiber tracker installation

CDF silicon detector installation



- **DØ**
  - Excellent electron & muon ID
  - Excellent tracking acceptance
- **CDF**
  - Silicon vertex trigger
  - Particle ID (TOF and dE/dx)
  - Excellent mass resolution

Datasets

- Tevatron performing well
  - Delivering 2.5 fb^{-1}/year
- Run II total: 10 fb^{-1} delivered
  - analyze 75-80% of delivered
  - Show 1-6 fb^{-1} results today





- Peak $\mathcal{L}_{inst}$ 3.5-4x10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}
- 6-8 interactions per crossing
- Reduced efficiency for flavor physics at high $\mathcal{L}_{inst}$

Tevatron run extension?



Trigger Strategies

- **Dimuons**
  - Clean signatures, less background
  - Get lots of $B \to J/\psi$ modes
  - Also rare decays ($B \to \mu\mu$, $\mu\mu X$)
- **Single electrons/muons**
  - Semileptonic decays
- **Track only**
  - Hadronic modes







Comments

- **Tevatron experiments primarily contribute measurements of B_s, B_c, b-baryons**
  - ➔ Can contribute to B^0 and B^+ in a few places

    $B \to DK$, $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^0 \to K\pi)$, $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$, $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ K*,

    $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$, $B^+ \to \phi K^+$, τ_{B+}/τ_{B0}
  - ➔ In many cases (*e.g.* lifetimes, mixing) B^0 and B^+ are calibration or normalization modes…

- **In all cases, trigger imposes a significant bias (kinematic, decay time) that must be dealt with…**
- **Other than a few special modes, typically require all-charged final state**

- **Experiments are mature, analyses have developed well beyond their original projections.**

B_s Mixing

- **Mixing proceeds through "box" diagrams:**





- **oscillation frequency, Δm_s**
  $\Delta m_s \propto |V_{ts}|^2$ **and** $|V_{ts}|/|V_{td}| \approx 6$
- **Updated CDF analysis (used for flavor tagging calibration)**
  $\Delta m_s = 17.79 \pm 0.07$ (stat) ps^{-1}
- **What about the phase of V_{ts}?**

CP Violation in $B_s \to J/\psi\,\phi$ Decays

Analogous to the neutral B^0 system, *CP* violation in B_s system is accessible through interference of decays with and without mixing:



dominant contribution from top quark

Decay rate ~



New Physics?

$$\beta_s^{\rm SM} = \arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*) \approx 0.02$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ***CP* violation phase β_s in SM is predicted to be very small, $O(\sin^2\theta_c)$**
- **New physics particles running in the mixing diagram may enhance β_s**

$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\,\phi$



-Technique:

-Use flavor tagging to determine B_s vs. $\overline{B_s}$ produced

-Measure decay time and angular distributions

-Decay of B_s (spin 0) to J/ψ (spin 1) and ϕ (spin 1) leads to:

$L = 0$ (s-wave), 2 (d-wave) → *CP even* (= short lived or light B_s if no *CPV*)

$L = 1$ (p-wave) → *CP odd* (= long lived or heavy B_s if no *CPV*)

-Extract:

- B_s lifetime τ_s
- B_{sH}, B_{sL} decay width difference

$\Delta\Gamma_s = 1/\Gamma_{s,L} - 1/\Gamma_{s,H}$

- *CP* violating phase β_s





$B_s \to J/\psi\phi$ Summer 2009

- CDF + DØ combination done by the Tevatron B Working Group: http://tevbwg.fnal.gov/

- Combination of 2.8 fb^{-1} analyses showed 2.1σ deviation from SM



$B_s \to J/\psi\phi$ New Results

- **CDF**
  - 6500 signal events
  - Include s-wave *KK* component in fit.
  - Better particle ID



- **DØ**
  - 3435 signal events
  - Check for s-wave *KK* in data
  - No same-side tagging
  - Include strong phase constraints



Trends are the same as before, but both experiments now see SM consistency at about 1σ

see Louise Oakes' talk on Friday

$B_s \to J/\psi\, K$

- Cabibbo suppressed $b \to c\bar{c}d$
  - ➔ Smaller tree contribution larger relative penguin contribution
- $B_s \to J/\psi\, K^{*0}(892)$
  - ➔ Analagous to $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$
- $B_s \to J/\psi\, K_S$
  - ➔ *CP* eigenstate, 100% B_s heavy
- First observations, branching ratios consistent with spectator estimate





Dimuon charge asymmetry



- **Search for *CP* violation in mixing using same sign dimuon events from semileptonic *B* decays:**

$$A_{sl}^{b} \equiv \frac{N_b^{++} - N_b^{--}}{N_b^{++} + N_b^{--}}$$

  - N_b^{++}, N_b^{--} – number of events with two *b* hadrons decaying semileptonically producing two same-sign muons
    - One muon comes from direct semileptonic decay $b \to \mu^- X$
    - Second muon comes from direct semileptonic decay after mixing $\bar{b} \to b \to \mu^- X$
  - Derived from dimuon and inclusive muon asymmetries:

$$A \equiv \frac{N^{++} - N^{--}}{N^{++} + N^{--}}, \text{ and } a \equiv \frac{n^{+} - n^{-}}{n^{+} + n^{-}}$$

Experimental issues

- use one muon as the "tag" and the other as the "probe".
- At Tevatron, both B^0 and B_s contribute.
- Lots of subtleties, but two main issues:
  1. Asymmetric backgrounds from kaons faking μ
  2. Asymmetric μ^+ and μ^- acceptance/efficiency



- Deal with acceptance/efficiency issue by periodically reversing polarity on central solenoid and muon toroids.
  - Check residual asymmetry with data.

Fake muon backgrounds

- $\sigma(K^+N) < \sigma(K^-N)$
  - more K^+ get through calorimeter making fake μ
- **Need to know:**
  - Number K faking μ
  - $K^+ \to \mu^+$ vs. $K^- \to \mu^-$
- **Define sources of kaons:**

$$K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$$
$$\varphi(1020) \to K^+K^-$$

- **Require that the kaon is identified as a muon**
- **Compute asymmetry from observed +/– yields**



$\mu\mu$ charge asymmetry result

- DØ 6.1 fb^{-1} analysis yields:

$$A_{sl}^{b} = (-0.957 \pm 0.251\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.146\,(\text{syst}))\%$$

- SM prediction:

$$A_{sl}^{b}(SM) = (-0.023^{+0.005}_{-0.006})\%$$

⇒ using prediction of a^d_{sl} and a^s_{sl} from A. Lenz, U. Nierste, hep-ph/0612167

- Differs from SM by ~3.2 σ



DØ Combined results

- **Result from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ consistent with dimuon asymmetry**



Projections for dimuon A_{CP}

- DØ dimuon A_{CP} has a statistical error of 0.25% using 6.1 fb^{-1}

- Can CDF perform this measurement?
  - ➔ Cannot reverse magnet polarity
    - Probably not a major concern, CDF axially symmetric
    - Dominant charge bias is in central tracker at low momentum, can be measured with other modes.
  - ➔ DØ has better muon coverage at high $|\eta|$

CDF 1.6 fb^{-1} dimuon analysis

- CDF used a different technique
  - Use muon impact parameter information to fit for sample composition.
  - A_{SL} = 0.0080 ± 0.0090(stat) ± 0.0068(syst)





  - Scaling to 7 fb^{-1} would have statistical error of 0.45%
  - Unclear if systematics scale with statistics using CDF technique

$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$

- $B_s \to \mu\mu$ is highly suppressed in SM
- Some new physics models enhance BR significantly.







D0 arXiv:1006.3469 (6.1 fb^{-1})
BR($B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$) < 5.1x 10^{-8} @ 95%CL

CDF preliminary (3.7 fb^{-1})



CDF preliminary (3.7 fb^{-1})
BR($B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$) < 4.3x 10^{-8} @ 95%CL

Projection for $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$



DØ arXiv:1006.3469 (6.1 fb^{-1})

CDF preliminary (3.7 fb^{-1})

DØ expected limit (6.1 fb^{-1})

With 8 fb^{-1} project 95% confidence limit of

BR($B_s \to \mu\mu$) < 2 x 10^{-8}

SM prediction

BR($B_s \to \mu\mu$) = (3.42±0.54) x10^{-9}

$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- h$



- e^+e^- *B* factory results for $A_{fb}(B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^- K^*)$ shows interesting behavior

Belle

(Belle arXiv:0904.0770v1, 657M $B\bar{B}$)



BaBar

(BaBar PRD79,031102R(2009), 384M $B\bar{B}$)



$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- h$

- **CDF 4.4 fb^{-1} analysis**
  - A_{fb} in $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^- K^*$
  - *101±12 signal events*





- **first observation of $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\phi$**
  - *27±6 signal events*
  - *(SM expectation 31 events)*
  - *Signal significance: 6.3σ*

Outline

- Introduction
  - B physics at the Tevatron
  - Detectors & datasets
- Physics with (semi)leptonic final states
  - $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$
  - Dimuon CP asymmetry
  - Rare decays: $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-\phi$
- Physics with hadronic final states
  - A bit of history
  - $B \to D^0 K$
  - Charmless two-body decays ($b \to u\bar{u}d$, $u\bar{u}s$, $s\bar{s}s$)
  - CP asymmetry in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$
- A bit more on prospects
- Conclusion

We've come a long way…

- **Success of the Tevatron *B* program has benefitted from:**
  - More luminosity
  - Better detectors, triggers, DAQ systems
  - Better understanding of heavy flavor production
  - Improved of analysis techniques

- **Two major transitions:**
  1. silicon microvertex detector (~1991)
  2. utilizing silicon in the trigger (~2002)

Aldo Menzione

Luciano Ristori

2009 Panofsky Prize recipients

The early days…

- **Before silicon**



- **After silicon**





B^0 mixing

F. Bedeschi, D. Lucchesi et al.

Silicon Vertex Trigger (SVT)

- SVT incorporates silicon info in the Level 2 trigger… select events with large impact parameter!





- Uses fitted beamline
- impact parameter per track
- System is "deadtimeless":
  - ➔ ~35μsec/event for readout + clustering + track fitting





What do we get with the SVT?



Access to many new modes





inefficiency for events with low decay time

[can be accounted for *e.g.* B_s lifetimes/mixing]



Towards CP angle γ from $B^- \to D^0 K^-$

γ could be extracted by exploiting the **interference** between the processes $\bar{b} \to \bar{c}u\bar{s}$ ($B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 K^+$) and $\bar{b} \to \bar{u}c\bar{s}$ ($B^+ \to D^0 K^+$)



Favored $\bar{b} \to \bar{c}$ decay

$A_1 \sim V_{cb}V_{us}^* \sim \lambda^3$



Color suppressed $\bar{b} \to \bar{u}$ decay

$A_2 \sim V_{ub}V_{cs}^* \sim \lambda^3 r_B e^{-i\delta B} e^{-i\gamma}$

- **ADS (Atwood-Dunietz-Soni) method** ([PRL78,3257;PRD63,036005])

uses the $B^\pm \to D K^\pm$ decays with D reconstructed in the doubly cabibbo suppressed $D^0_{DCS} \to K^+\pi^-$

Only requires extraction of yields by charge, Does not require flavor tagging or time dependent measurement

ADS analysis

- Looking for "wrong sign" $B^+ \to [K^-\pi^+]K^+$ decays from:
  - Color suppressed $B^+ \to D^0 K^+$ with $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$
  - Cabibbo favored $B^+ \to \overline{D}^0 K^+$ with DCS $\overline{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+$



Cabibbo favored $B^+ \to [K^+\pi^-]\pi^+$



Color suppressed + DCS D decay
$B^+ \to [K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$

- Total sample about 19,000 $B^\pm \to DK/D\pi$ events

$B^{\pm} \rightarrow D^0 K / D^0 \pi$

- **Use kinematic and particle ID information to extract D^0K component**
- **Cabibbo favored modes:**



Particle ID (dE/dx) information on hadron (h) from $B \rightarrow D^0 h$



From (*PRD81:031105,2010) a*nalysis of CP even components of GLW (Gronau- London- Wyler) method (*[PLB253,483 PLB265,172]*) uses the $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D K^{\pm}$ decays with D_{CP} decay modes. $D_{CP+} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$

ADS results

- Color suppress/doubly Cabibbo suppressed modes
- Combined significance (is there anything there?) >5σ





$$R_{ADS}(\pi) = 0.0041 \pm 0.0008(stat) \pm 0.0004(syst)$$
$$A_{ADS}(\pi) = 0.22 \pm 0.18(stat) \pm 0.06(syst)$$
$$R_{ADS}(K) = 0.0225 \pm 0.0084(stat) \pm 0.0079(syst)$$
$$A_{ADS}(K) = -0.63 \pm 0.40(stat) \pm 0.23(syst)$$

see Paola Garosi's talk on Thursday

$B_s \rightarrow \phi\phi$



B_s



- **Penguin decay**
- **Normalize observed yield to $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$**
- **Measured BR consistent with expectation.**

- **$B_s \rightarrow \phi\phi$ is P→VV transition**
- **polarization sensitive to penguins, new physics.**
- **Analysis similar to $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$**

$f_{\perp} =$



$B \to h^+ h^-$

- Charmless two-body modes
- kinematics and particle ID





- measure:
  - BR and Direct CP asymmetry in 4 modes
    - $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^-\pi^+$
    - $\Lambda_b \to p\pi^-$, $\Lambda_b \to pK^-$
  - BR in 2 modes
    - $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^+K^-$
  - BR limits on 2 modes
    - $B^0 \to K^+K^-$, $B_s \to \pi^+\pi^-$

7000 signal events in 1 fb^{-1}



Next iteration:

- Reduced uncertainties on direct *CP* uncertainties
- Flavor tagged indirect CPV search

Search for charm *CP* violation

$$A_{CP}(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) - \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)}$$

- Tagging the D^0 with D^*: $\begin{cases} D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi_s^+ \\ D^{*-} \to \bar{D}^0 \pi_s^- \end{cases}$
- CP symmetric initial state (p-pbar) ensures charge symmetric production
- 215,000 $D^* \to D^0 \pi$ with $D^0 \to \pi\pi$.



Search for charm *CP* violation

- **Use tagged and untagged $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ (data driven) to quantify soft pion charge bias.**
  - **Bias significant at low p_T**





- **Untagged samples:**
  - **N($D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$) ≈ 1.2×10^6**
  - **N($D^0 \to K^+K^-$) ≈ 3×10^6**
  - **N($D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$) ≈ 30×10^6**

CP Asymmetry in $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$

- **In 5.94 fb^{-1} result:**

$$A_{CP}(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) = (+0.22 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.11)\%$$

stat syst

- **Because of displaced track trigger, CDF measures a different combination of direct and indirect CP components**

- **D^0 mixing parameters are small ($x\tau, y\tau << 1$), then the integrated asymmetry at the first order can be written as:**

$$A_{CP}(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) \approx a_{CP}^{dir} + \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\tau} a_{CP}^{ind}$$

- **Coming: *CP* asymmetry in $D^0 \to K^+K^-$**



see Fabrizio Ruffini's talk on Friday

Topics Not Covered (or in the pipeline…)

- *Lifetimes (e.g. $\Lambda_b \to J/\psi\,\Lambda$)*
- *More B_s*
  - *$B_s \to D_s\, D_s$*
  - *CPV in $B_s \to \mu D_s$*
  - *Other Bs modes*
- *Baryons*
  - *Properties*
  - *Excited states*
  - *Ω_b*
- *B_c*
  - *Decays*
  - *properties*
- *$D^0 \to \mu\mu$*
- **Production**
- **X(3872), Y(4140), Z(4430)**

Conclusion

- **Tevatron continuing to produce a rich program in heavy flavor physics.**
  - ➔ Complementary to e^+e^- machines and LHC experiments
- **Many interesting results will benefit from more data.**
  - ➔ Anticipate 9 fb^{-1} per experiment for analysis by end of FY11
  - ➔ If run is extended, ultimate sample could be 15 fb^{-1}
- **Results will continue beyond the end of the run**

Where the Real Info Is…

- **T, C, P, CP symmetries, accidental symmetries 7 (Thursday afternoon)**
  - **Paola Garosi**

  First ADS analysis of $B \to DK$ in hadron collisions

- **T, C, P, CP symmetries, accidental symmetries 9 (Friday afternoon)**
  - **Louise Oakes**

  Measurement of Bs mixing phase and observation of suppressed Bs decays at CDF

- **T, C, P, CP symmetries, accidental symmetries 10 (Friday afternoon)**
  - **Fabrizio Ruffini**

  Precision measurements of direct CP violation in $D^0 \to \pi\pi$ at CDF