



Calorimeter Trigger Monitoring Proposal for Improvements

Peter Wilson 1
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Example of changes to Trigmon and Trigger monitoring in general to improve effectiveness of shift crew
- Make plots clearer
 - Easier to read text
 - Standardize axes and ways of presenting data
 - Present scatter plots of simulation vs data or ratio of simulation vs data
 - Specifically in scatter plots put Data on Y axis and Simulation on X axis
 - This will give errors **above the diagonal as “Hot”**, **below the plot are “Holes”**
 - Label axes as “Sim” or “Data” with source bank or board name in parentheses
- Standard approach to presenting of path data through trigger:
 - Occupancy of “Input” data as seen by trigger, compare to simulation from detector bank
 - Occupancy or object distributions for trigger primitives passed to next level of the system (eg XFT tracks to XTRP, towers passing electron cuts, matched Stub+Track), compare to simulation based on “Input” data to system not detector bank.
- Focus on slide show since this is the primary information for shift crew



Calorimeter Slide 1: Trigger Tower Occupancy (L1/L2)

Peter Wilson 2
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots: “EM (HAD) Trigger Tower Occupancy – Data (DCAS)/Sim (ADMEM)”
 - Filled with any tower that has $E_t > 125$ MeV in DCAS
- Upper two have occupancy in data, lower two occupancy in Simulation
- Use YMON PMT occupancy as model of appearance
- Use the same layout for ALL eta-phi maps in Calorimeter trigger
- Normalize occupancy to number of events analyzed. Will be more stable run-to-run. How about normalizing to $\Delta(\eta)$ for the tower?
- Axis labels etc:
 - x – “(West) Trigger Tower Eta (East)”
 - y – “Trigger Tower Wedge (phi)”
 - Add grid lines at tower boundaries for easy of viewing
 - Add a thick vertical line at $\eta=0$ (between towers 11 and 12)
 - On EM plots vertical lines at PEM/PHA boundary (6/7 and 16/17)
 - On Had plots vertical lines at CHA/WHA/PHA boundary (5/6 and 17/18)
- Should we make another set that require $E_t > 1$ GeV?



Calorimeter Slide 2: Trigger Tower Validation (L1/L2)

Peter Wilson 3
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots: Left column for EM, Right column for HAD
- Upper plots: “Trigger Tower Et: Data vs Sim”
 - Data from DCAS (TC2D) simulation based on ADMEM
 - Axes:
 - x – “Tower Et Sim (ADMEM) GeV”
 - y – “Tower Et Data (DCAS) GeV”
 - Use color to indicate occupancy
 - Try to put stats boxes in upper left corner or other location that won't block the plot (needed for overflows)
- Lower plots: “Trigger Tower Errors: Et(Data)≠Et(Sim)”
 - Same axes and labels as tower occupancy plots
 - Remove stats boxes from these plots



Calorimeter Slide 3: L1 Cal Trigger Tower Errors

Peter Wilson 4
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots: Left column for EM, Right column for TOT(Jet/Tau)
- eta-phi plots of DIRAC trigger decisions by tower. This is the OR of all 8 EM (electron/photon) or 8 TOT (Jet/Tau) triggers for each tower. Filled by data from TC1D bank from DIRAC cards.
 - Upper plots: “L1 EM(TOTAL) Trigger Occupancy by Tower”
 - Could replace EM with Photon/Electron and Total with Tau/Jet
 - Lower plots: “L1 EM(TOTAL) Trigger Decision != Simulation (DCAS) by Tower”
- Same axes labels as tower occupancy plots
 - No statistics boxes
- Plots for each individual bit will be in expert slide show (16 bits x 3 occupancy lots = 48 plots)



Calorimeter Trigger Slide 4: L1 Cal Trigger Decisions

Peter Wilson 5
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Again 4 plots: left EM (e, γ), Right TOT(j, τ)
- Comparison of 16 bits at PreFRED between data and Simulation
 - Top 1D histograms of 8 bits each from Data (PreFRED) with Simulation (DIRAC) overlaid
 - Titles: “L1 Electron/Photon (Jet/Tau) Trigger Bits”
 - X – axis labels: “Trigger Bit Number (PreFRED)”
 - Need key for Data (solid) and Sim (Dashed)
 - Bottom 1D histograms of errors where bits did not agree between Data and Sim
 - Titles: “L1 Electron/Photon (Jet/Tau) Trigger Bit Errors
 - X – axis labels: “Trigger Bit Number (PreFRED)”



Calorimeter Slide 5: SUMET Validation (L1)

Peter Wilson 6
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots
- Plot 1: “Sum Et Data”
 - Data from TL1D (PreFRED)
 - Axes:
 - X – “Sum Et Data (PreFRED) GeV”
 - Y – “Events/GeV”
 - Normalize?
- Plots 2-4: “Sum Et Data vs Sim (source)”
 - Data from TL1D, Simulation based on different sources
 - Axes:
 - X – “Sum Et – Sim (Source) GeV”
 - Y – “Sum Et – Data (PreFRED) GeV”
 - Sources for Simulation: Plot 2 – ADMEM, Plot 2 – DCAS, Plot 3 - DIRAC



Calorimeter Slide 6: Missing Et Validation (L1)

Peter Wilson 7
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Plot 1: “Missing Et Data”
 - Data from TL1D (PreFRED)
 - Axes:
 - X – “Missing Et Data (PreFRED) GeV”
 - Y – “Events/GeV”
 - Normalize?
- Plot 2: “Missing Et Data vs Sim”
 - Data from TL1D, Simulation based on TC2D (DCAS)
 - Axes:
 - X – “Missing Et – Sim (DCAS) GeV”
 - Y – “Missing Et – Data (PreFRED) GeV”
- Plots 3, 4: “Missing Et: Etx (Ety) Data vs Sim”
 - Axes:
 - X – “Etx(Ety) – Sim (DCAS) GeV”
 - Y – “Etx(Ety) – Data (PreFRED) GeV”



Calorimeter Trigger Slide 7: Cluster Energy Validation

Peter Wilson 8
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots: EM Left, Had Right
- Upper Plots: “Cluster EM(HAD) Et: Data vs Sim”
 - Data from TL2D (Alpha), Sim from TC2D (DCAS)
 - Axes:
 - x – “Cluster Et Data (TL2D) GeV”
 - y – “Cluster Et Sim (TC2D) GeV”
 - Use color to indicate occupancy
 - Axis range to 100 GeV, use Stats box to indicate overflows
- Lower plots: “Cluster EM(HAD) Et Errors: Et(Data)≠Et(Sim)”
 - Eta-phi map of seed tower (Data) of cluster errors
 - Use the same labels and axes as tower occupancy plots



Calorimeter Slide 8: Cluster Validation (L2)

Peter Wilson 9
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots. All are Data (TL2D) vs Simulation (TC2D).
Rename as follows and reverse Axes:
 - “Number of L2 Clusters: Data vs Sim”
x axis: “Number of Clusters – Sim (TC2D)”
y axis: “Number of Clusters – Data (TL2D)”
Stats on overflows?
 - “Number of Towers per Cluster: Data vs Sim”
x axis: “Number – Sim (TC2D)”
y axis: “Number – Data (TL2D)”
Stats on overflows?
 - “Eta of Seed Tower: Data vs Sim”
x axis: “Eta – Sim (TC2D)”
y axis: “Eta – Data (TL2D)”
 - “Phi of Seed Tower: Data vs Sim”
x axis: “Phi – Sim (TC2D)”
y axis: “Phi – Data (TL2D)”



Calorimeter Trigger Slide 9: Isolation Energy Validation

Peter Wilson 10
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots: EM Left, Had Right
- Upper Plots: “Isolation EM(HAD) Et: Data vs Sim”
 - Data from TL2D (Alpha), Sim from TC2D (DCAS). Fill these plots will all 5 sums for each event. Have a similar set of plots in expert plots which separate the 5 sums.
 - Axes:
 - x – “Isolation Et Data (TL2D) GeV”
 - y – “Isolation Et Sim (TC2D) GeV”
 - Use color to indicate occupancy
 - Axis range to 100 GeV, use Stats box to indicate overflows
- Lower plots: “Isolation EM(HAD) Et Errors: Et(Data)≠Et(Sim)”
 - Eta-phi map of seed tower (Data) of cluster errors
 - Use the same labels and axes as tower occupancy plots



Calorimeter Slide 10: Isolation Cluster Validation (L2)

Peter Wilson 11
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Four plots as already exist. All are Data (TL2D) vs Simulation (TC2D). Rename as follows:
 - “Number of L2 Clusters: Data vs Sim”
X axis: “Number of Clusters – Data (TL2D)”
y axis: “Number of Clusters – Sim (TC2D)”
 - “Et Sum 1: Data vs Sim”
X axis: “Et Sum 1 – Data (TL2D)”
y axis: “Et Sum 1 – Sim (TC2D)”
 - “Eta of Seed Tower: Data vs Sim”
X axis: “Eta – Data (TL2D)”
y axis: “Eta – Sim (TC2D)”
 - “Phi of Seed Tower: Data vs Sim”
X axis: “Phi – Data (TL2D)”
y axis: “Phi – Sim (TC2D)”



Calorimeter Trigger Criteria for Good Run

Peter Wilson 12
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

- Nothing is known to be bad
- What makes the run “bad” for calorimeter trigger?
 - The Photon trigger is “on” at 1.7MHz because the 32 GeV bit is stuck on for a CEM tower => page L1 Cal, end run, mask the tower and start a new run
 - A run is not “bad” if only a 0.125 GeV bit is stuck on or off
 - A run is bad if >5 towers have bits stuck off for $E_t > 1$ GeV
 - More than 5 towers in DIRAC giving incorrect trigger decisions (e.g. whole DIRAC board)
 - Cluster Energies disagree with Sim on >1% of clusters



Calorimeter Trigger: When do problems need to be fixed?

Peter Wilson 13
Trigger Meeting
March 15, 2002

These are for discussion:

- A hot trigger tower must be masked immediately if it increases the overall L1 rate by 20%
- Mask towers should be addressed on a bi-weekly basis or if $N_{\text{masked}} > 5$ address immediately
- Bad bits (>1 GeV) should be addressed bi-weekly or if $N_{\text{bad}} > 5$
- Bad bits (<1 GeV) should be addressed monthly or if $N_{\text{bad}} > 10$
- If more than 5 towers in DIRAC are giving incorrect triggers this should be addressed immediately